

THE POINTILLIST PRINCIPLE FOR VARIATION OPERATORS AND JUMP FUNCTIONS

K HUGHES

ABSTRACT. We extend the pointillist principles of Moon and Carrillo–de Guzmán to variational operators and jump functions.

1. THE POINTILLIST PRINCIPLE

In [9], Moon observed that for a sequence of sufficiently smooth convolution operators the weak $(1, q)$ boundedness of their maximal operator is equivalent to restricted weak $(1, q)$ boundedness of the maximal operator for any $q \geq 1$. For a sequence of operators T_m for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define their maximal function $M(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathbb{N}) := \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} |T_m f(x)|$ for $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Moon’s theorem ([9]). *Suppose that $\{T_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of convolution operators given by $T_m f := f * g_m$ for a sequence of functions $g_m \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For any $q \geq 1$, $M(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is restricted weak-type $(1, q)$ with norm C if and only if $M(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is weak-type $(1, q)$ with norm C .*

Subsequently de Guzmán gave a version of Moon’s theorem where the class of characteristic functions is replaced by linear combinations of δ -functions. To state his result, we introduce a little bit of terminology. Let δ_x denote the Dirac delta-function at the point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $g_m \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a sequence of functions. In analogy with restricted weak-type, let us say that $M(f * \mu_m(x) : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is *pointed weak-type (p, p) with norm at most C* if for any finite subset of points $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we have the inequality

$$(1) \quad \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{y \in X} g_m(x - y) \right| > \lambda \right\} \right| \leq C \cdot \frac{\#X}{\lambda^p}.$$

Carrillo–de Guzmán’s theorem ([4]). *Suppose that $\{T_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of convolution operators given by $T_m f := f * g_m$ for a sequence of functions $g_m \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For any $p \geq 1$, $M(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is weak-type (p, p) with norm at most C if $M(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is pointed weak-type (p, p) with norm at most C . Furthermore, the converse is true if $p = 1$.*

Remark 1.1. The converse can fail for $p > 1$.

Grafakos–Mastylo extended Moon’s theorem to the multilinear setting in [5] while Carena extended Carrillo–de Guzmán’s theorem to more general metric measure spaces in [3]. It should also be noted that Akcoglu–Baxter–Bellow–Jones showed that the analogue of Moon’s theorem over the discrete case $\mathbb{Z}$ may fail; see [1] and [6]. It is to these collections of theorem we refer to as the ‘pointillist principle’, taking its name from the Pointillism movement in art. The purpose of this short note is to extend Moon and Carrillo–de Guzmán’s instances of the pointillist principle to variational operators and jump functions. We recall these operators and discuss a few of their basic properties.

Suppose that $\{T_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of operators acting on a Lebesgue measurable function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let $r \in [1, \infty)$ and $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbb{N}$. Define pointwise the r -variation operator over T_m with respect to $\mathcal{R}$ as

$$(2) \quad V_r(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathcal{R}) := \sup \left(\sum_{i=1}^L |T_{m_i} f(x) - T_{m_{i+1}} f(x)|^r \right)^{1/r}$$

where the supremum is over all finite, increasing subsequences $\{m_i\}$ of $\mathcal{R}$. One may make the usual modification using the essential supremum to extend (2) to $r = \infty$. Note that $V_r(\cdot)$ is sublinear in its argument. Define the jump function $N_\lambda(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathcal{R})$ as given by the supremum over $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that there exists a sequence $s_0 < t_0 \leq s_1 < t_1 \leq \dots \leq s_M < t_M$ in $\mathcal{R}$ with

$$|T_{s_i} f(x) - T_{t_i} f(x)| > \lambda$$

for each $0 \leq i \leq M$. Unlike the variation operators, the jump functions fail to be sublinear. However, we note the almost sub-additivity of the jump functions:

$$(3) \quad N_\lambda(T_m[f + g](x) : m \in \mathcal{R}) \leq N_{\lambda/2}(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathcal{R}) + N_{\lambda/2}(T_m g(x) : m \in \mathcal{R})$$

The variation operators are connected to the jump functions by the inequality:

$$N_\lambda(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathcal{R}) \leq 4\lambda^{-r} [V_r(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathcal{R})]^r$$

for each $r \geq 1$. Surprisingly this can be reversed on average in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ when $r > 2$. In practice the L^p boundedness of V_2 often fails. However the jump function $\lambda\sqrt{N_\lambda}$ may still be bounded in which case it acts as a surrogate ‘endpoint’ operator for V_2 . See for instance [7]. Moreover the variation operators are related to the maximal functions by

$$V_\infty(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathcal{R}) = 2M(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathcal{R}) \leq 2[V_\infty(T_m f(x) : m \in \mathcal{R}) + T_{m_0} f(x)]$$

for any $m_0 \in \mathcal{R}$. On the one hand, $V_r f(x)$ increases as r decreases so that its L^p -boundedness becomes more difficult to prove. On the other hand, the jump inequalities and variational estimates give quantitative versions of pointwise ergodic theorems. For a more thorough discussion of variations and jump functions, see [2, 10, 7, 8].

Now we can precisely state our first theorem which is Moon’s theorem for variations and jump functions.

Theorem 1 (Moon’s theorem for variations and jump functions). *Suppose that T_m is a sequence of convolution operators given by $T_m f := f * g_m$ for a sequence of functions $g_m \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Fix the variation exponent to be any $r \in [1, \infty]$. For any $q \geq 1$, $V_r(T_m f : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is restricted weak-type $(1, q)$ with norm C if and only if $V_r(T_m f : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is weak-type $(1, q)$ with norm C . Moreover, $\lambda\sqrt{N_\lambda}$ is restricted weak-type $(1, q)$ if and only if $\lambda\sqrt{N_\lambda}$ is weak-type $(1, q)$.*

We also prove the Carrillo–de Guzmán version of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 (Pointed weak-type for variation and jump functions). *Suppose that T_m is a sequence of convolution operators given by $T_m f := f * g_m$ for a sequence of finite measures $g_m \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Fix the variation exponent to be any $r \in [1, \infty]$. If $p \geq 1$ and $V_r(T_m f : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is pointed weak-type (p, p) with norm C , then $V_r(T_m f : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is strong-type (p, p) with norm at most C . Moreover the same is true for the jump functions $\lambda\sqrt{N_\lambda}$.*

We can extend Theorem 1 to a slightly more general set-up. In addition to working with convolutions of L^1 functions, we will work with convolutions of smoothing, possibly singular, measures. This extension first appeared for the maximal function of lacunary dilates of a smoothing measure in unpublished work of Seeger–Tao–Wright connected with [12, 11]. Inspired by the set-up of [13], we use a weak version of condition (2) of Seeger–Wright’s Theorem 1.1 in [13]. Let μ_m be a sequence of finite measures of bounded variation and T_m denote convolution with μ_m . Assume that for some fixed $p \geq 1$ we have

$$(4) \quad \sup_m \|T_m \circ P_{>k}\|_{p \rightarrow p} = o(1) \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here, and throughout, P_k denotes a smooth Littlewood–Paley ‘projection’ operator adapted to frequency band of frequency size 2^k . To be precise, let $\mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]} \leq \phi \leq \mathbf{1}_{[2,2]}$ be a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}$. Define by the multiplier $\hat{P}_k(\xi) = \phi(|\xi|) - \phi(2|\xi|)$. Then for a function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\widehat{P_k f} := \widehat{P_k} \cdot \hat{f}$ the Fourier transform of $P_k f$ has support in $\{|\xi| \in [2^{k-1}, 2^{k+1}]\}$ while $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(|\xi|) - \phi(2|\xi|) \equiv 1$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ so that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} P_k f = f$ in many senses. We write $P_{\leq k} f = \sum_{j \leq k} P_j f$ and $P_{>k} f = \sum_{j > k} P_j f$. As a motivating example one may consider the lacunary spherical averages given by the measures $\mu_m := \sigma_{2^m}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ where σ_r is the spherical measure on a sphere of radius $r > 0$ normalized to have mass 1. It is known that $\|P_k \mu_r\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim (1 + r2^{-k})^{\frac{1-d}{2}}$ for $d \geq 2$.

We have the following ‘smoothing’ version of Moon’s theorem and Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that T_m is a sequence of convolution operators given by $T_m f := f * \mu_m$ for a sequence of finite measures μ_m each of bounded total variation satisfying the smoothing property (4). Fix the variation exponent to be any $r \in [1, \infty]$. For any $q \geq 1$, $V_r(T_m f : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is restricted weak-type $(1, q)$ with norm C if and only if $V_r(T_m f : m \in \mathbb{N})$ is weak-type $(1, q)$ with norm at most C . Moreover, $\lambda \sqrt[r]{N_\lambda}$ is restricted weak-type $(1, q)$ if and only if $\lambda \sqrt[r]{N_\lambda}$ is weak-type $(1, q)$.*

We close the introduction with a little bit of notation that will be useful in the proof of our theorems. First, $f(x) \lesssim g(x)$ if there exists a constant $f(x) \leq Cg(x)$ for some implicit constant $C > 0$. Second, for a subset $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, let $\mathbf{1}_F$ denote the indicator or characteristic function of F .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Jim Wright for informing me of the extension of Moon’s theorem to smoothing measures, as well as for insightful conversations. I also thank Ben Krause, Mariusz Mirek and Bartosz Trojan for discussions concerning variational operators and jump function inequalities.

2. MOON’S THEOREM FOR VARIATIONS

The proof of Moon’s theorem hinges on how to approximate simple functions. The following proposition is implicit in [9]. It says that the set I_ϵ approximates f very well, in the sense that it has the same size as f and it is close to the convolution of f with a prescribed finite sequence of smooth functions. Since we will use it in Theorem 1, we include its proof for completeness.

Proposition 2.1 (Moon's pointillist principle). *For a sequence $\{h_m\}_{1 \leq m \leq M}$ of $C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ functions, if f is a simple function on $\mathbb{R}^d$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a set $I_\epsilon \subseteq \text{supp}(f)$ such that*

- (1) $\|f\|_{L^\infty} |I_\epsilon| = \|f\|_{L^1}$,
- (2) $|f * h_m(x) - (\|f\|_{L^\infty} \mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon} * h_m(x))| < \|f\|_{L^1} \epsilon$ for each $1 \leq m \leq M$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. By the scaling homogeneity of the problem we may normalize $\|f\|_{L^\infty} = 1$. Let $f = \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \mathbf{1}_{F_k}$ be a simple function with coefficients $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $F_k \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of finite Lebesgue measure. We may assume that the F_k are open balls with diameter at most $\delta > 0$ a small parameter that we will optimize later. Let I_k be *any* open ball in F_k such that $|I_k| = a_k |F_k|$. Now set $I = \cup_k I_k$ so that $\|f\|_{L^\infty} |I| = |I|$.

We want to show that the difference between f and $\mathbf{1}_I = \|f\|_{L^\infty} \mathbf{1}_I$ is small. First note that

$$\begin{aligned} f * h_m(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_k a_k \mathbf{1}_{F_k}(y) h_m(x - y) dy = \sum_k a_k \int_{F_k} h_m(x - y) dy \\ &= \sum_k a_k |F_k| h_m(x - y_k) = \sum_k \|f\|_{L^\infty} |I_k| h_m(x - y_k) \\ &= \sum_k |I_k| h_m(x - y_k) \end{aligned}$$

for some $y_k \in F_k$ since the h_m are smooth by the Mean Value Theorem. Similarly since $I_k \subset F_k$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_I * h_m(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_k \mathbf{1}_{I_k}(y) h_m(x - y) dy \\ &= \sum_k \int_{I_k} h_m(x - y) dy \\ &= \sum_k |I_k| h_m(x - y'_k) \end{aligned}$$

for some $y'_k \in I_k$. Therefore we have the pointwise estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |f * h_m(x) - \mathbf{1}_I * h_m(x)| &= \left| \sum_k |I_k| h_m(x - y_k) - \sum_k |I_k| h_m(x - y'_k) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_k |I_k| \cdot |h_m(x - y_k) - h_m(x - y'_k)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since the functions h_m are smooth and M is finite, we can choose δ small enough so that $|h_m(x - y_k) - h_m(x - y'_k)| < \epsilon$ for each $1 \leq m \leq M$. Take I_ϵ to be I to conclude the proof. $\square$

With Proposition 2.1 in hand, it is an easy matter to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Weak-type obviously implies restricted weak-type so we only prove that restricted weak-type implies weak-type. Fix $q \geq 1$. We make two quick reductions. By Monotone Convergence, reduce to the truncated variation operator $V_r(f * g_m(x) : m \in [M])$ where the supremum is over all finite, increasing subsequences of $[M] := \{1, \dots, M\}$ as long as our bounds at the end are independent of M . Normally one would also reduce to simple

functions, however we cannot do this since we do not yet know that the variation operator is continuous. Assume for now that f is a simple function. (We will remove this restriction at the end of the argument.) By dilational symmetry of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, normalize our simple function so that $\|f\|_{L^\infty} = 1$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Our first step is to approximate $g_m \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by smooth $h_m \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We can do this so that $\|g_m - h_m\|_{L^1} < \epsilon$. Note that for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$(5) \quad |f * (g_m - h_m)(x)| \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|g_m - h_m\|_{L^1} < \epsilon.$$

Sublinearity of the variation operators implies

$$(6) \quad V_r(f * g_m(x) : m \in [M]) \leq V_r(f * [g_m - h_m](x) : m \in [M]) + V_r(f * h_m(x) : m \in [M]).$$

The union bound then implies the pointwise bound

$$V_r(f * g_m(x) : m \in [M]) < M^{1/r} \cdot \epsilon + V_r(f * h_m(x) : m \in [M]).$$

Apply Proposition 2.1 to find a subset $I_\epsilon \subset \text{supp}(f)$ such that $|I_\epsilon| = \|f\|_{L^1}$ and satisfying the inequality $|f * g_m(x) - \mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon} * g_m(x)| < \epsilon$ simultaneously for each $m \in [M]$ and every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. This latter condition implies that for any $m_1, m_2 \in [M]$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|(f - \mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon}) * h_{m_1}(x) - (f - \mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon}) * h_{m_2}(x)| < 2\epsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\|V_r([f - \mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon}] * h_m : m \in [M])\|_{L^\infty} < M^{1/r} \cdot 2\epsilon.$$

Since V_r is sublinear, we have

$$V_r(f * h_m(x) : m \in [M]) \leq V_r([f - \mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon}] * h_m(x) : m \in [M]) + V_r(\mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon} * h_m(x) : m \in [M]).$$

Choosing $\epsilon = \lambda/100M^{1/r}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\{V_r(f * g_m(x) : m \in [M]) > \lambda\}| &\leq |\{V_r(f * [g_m - h_m](x) : m \in [M]) > \lambda/3\}| \\ &\quad + |\{V_r([f - \mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon}] * h_m(x) : m \in [M]) > \lambda/3\}| \\ &\quad + |\{V_r(\mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon} * h_m(x) : m \in [M]) > \lambda/3\}| \\ &= |\{V_r(\mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon} : \{T_m\}_{m \in [M]}) > \lambda/3\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying our hypothesis that the variation is restricted weak-type $(1, q)$, we have

$$|\{V_r(f * g_m(x) : m \in [M]) > \lambda\}| \leq C(\lambda/3)^{-q} |I_\epsilon| = 3^q C \lambda^{-q} \|f\|_{L^1}.$$

Choosing ϵ smaller, we see that we can replace $3^q C$ in the above bound by C .

We extend our estimates to f in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Find a simple function $\sum_{k=1}^K a_k \mathbf{1}_{F_k}$ for subsets F_k of $\mathbb{R}^d$ of finite Lebesgue measure such that $\|f - \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \mathbf{1}_{F_k}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \epsilon$ for $\epsilon = \lambda/10M^{1/r} > 0$. Then

$$\left\| V_r\left(f - \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \mathbf{1}_{F_k}\right) \right\|_{L^\infty} < M^{1/r} \cdot 2\epsilon < \lambda/3$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\{V_r(f : \{T_m\}_{m \in [M]}) > \lambda\}| &\leq \left| \left\{ V_r\left(f - \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \mathbf{1}_{F_k} : \{T_m\}_{m \in [M]}\right) > \lambda/2 \right\} \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \left\{ V_r\left(\sum_{k=1}^K a_k \mathbf{1}_{F_k} : \{T_m\}_{m \in [M]}\right) > \lambda/2 \right\} \right| \\
&= \left| \left\{ V_r\left(\sum_{k=1}^K a_k \mathbf{1}_{F_k} : \{T_m\}_{m \in [M]}\right) > \lambda/2 \right\} \right| \\
&\leq C(\lambda/2)^{-q} \sum_{k=1}^K |a_k| |F_k| \\
&\leq 2^q C \lambda^{-q} (1 + \epsilon) \|f\|_{L^1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Once again taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ in our approximation, we may drop the factor of 2^q .

The proof for jump inequalities is essentially similar but replaces (6) with (3). Unfortunately we lose a small power of 2 in this inequality and thus cannot conclude that the norm bounds are the same. $\square$

Our strategy for the proof of Theorem 3 is to take h_m to be $P_{\leq k} \mu_m$ for some large k as an approximation to μ_m and bound the rest as error. We assumed that μ_m is a finite measure of bounded total variation so that $P_k \mu_m$, which is the convolution of μ_m with a Schwartz function, is well-defined, and $\|P_{\leq k} \mu_m\|_p \lesssim_k \|\mu_m\|_{TV}$ where $\|\mu_m\|_{TV}$ denotes the total variation of μ_m . We remark that the implicit bound is not uniform in k ; this presents a minor technicality.

Proof of Theorem 3. Reduce to the truncated variation operator $V_r(f * \mu_m : m \in [M])$ for large $M \in \mathbb{N}$ as before. For the moment choose f to be a simple function normalized so that $\|f\|_\infty = 1$. Let $\lambda > 0$ and choose

$$\epsilon = \min\{\lambda^{p-q} \|f\|_1 / M^2 \|f\|_p, \lambda/10M, \lambda/4 \|f\|_1\}.$$

Choose k sufficiently large so that our assumption (4), implies that

$$\|f * P_{>k} \mu_m\|_{L^p} < \epsilon \|f\|_{L^p}$$

uniformly in m . Apply Proposition 2.1 with $g_m := P_{\leq k} \mu_m$ to find a subset I_ϵ satisfying the conclusions of Proposition 2.1.

The decomposition,

$$f * \mu_m = f * P_{>k} \mu_m + [f - \mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon}] * P_{\leq k} \mu_m + \mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon} * \mu_m - \mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon} * P_{>k} \mu_m,$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned}
|\{V_r(f * \mu_m : m \in M) > \lambda\}| &\leq |\{V_r(f * P_{>k} \mu_m : m \in M) > \lambda/4\}| \\
&\quad + |\{V_r([f - \mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon}] * P_{\leq k} \mu_m : m \in M) > \lambda/4\}| \\
&\quad + |\{V_r(\mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon} * P_{>k} \mu_m : m \in M) > \lambda/4\}| \\
&\quad + |\{V_r(\mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon} * \mu_m : m \in M) > \lambda/4\}|.
\end{aligned}$$

The union bound implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |\{V_r(f * P_{>k}\mu_m : m \in M) > \lambda\}| &\lesssim M^{1/r} \sup_{m \leq M} |\{f * P_{>k}\mu_m > \lambda/M\}| \\ &\lesssim M^{1+1/r} \lambda^{-p} \epsilon \|f\|_{L^p} \\ &< \lambda^{-q} \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

The same holds true for $\mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon}$ so that

$$|\{V_r(\mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon} * P_{>k}\mu_m : m \in M) > \lambda\}| \lesssim \lambda^{-q} \|\mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon}\|_1 = \lambda^{-q} \|f\|_1.$$

From our assumption on I_ϵ in the conclusion of Proposition 2.1, we also have that

$$\{V_r([f - \mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon}] * P_{\leq k}\mu_m : m \in M) > \lambda/4\} = \emptyset.$$

Combining these estimates we have

$$|\{V_r(f * \mu_m : m \in M) > \lambda\}| \lesssim |\{V_r(\mathbf{1}_{I_\epsilon} * \mu_m : m \in M) > \lambda/4\}| + \lambda^{-q} \|f\|_1.$$

Apply our restricted weak-type hypothesis to conclude the theorem for simple functions.

To extend to all f in L^1 (rather than f in $L^1 \cap L^p$), repeat the argument at the end of the proof of Theorem 1. $\square$

3. CARRILLO–DE GUZMÁN’S THEOREM FOR VARIATIONAL OPERATORS

The following proposition is the Carrillo–de Guzmán analogue of Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\{g_m\}_{1 \leq m \leq M}$ be a sequence of uniformly continuous functions, and $f = \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \mathbf{1}_{F_k}$ be a simple function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with F_k dyadic cubes from the standard dyadic mesh on $\mathbb{R}^d$. If $\epsilon > 0$, then f can be refined into a sum of dyadic cubes $f = \sum b_j \mathbf{1}_{Q_j}$ where Q_j is in some F_k , and for any points y_j in the interior of Q_j , we have*

$$(7) \quad \left| f * g_m(x) - \sum_j b_j |Q_j| g_m(x - y_j) \right| < 2 \|f\|_{L^1} \epsilon,$$

for each $1 \leq m \leq M$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

This is very similar to Proposition 2.1, but has the technical advantage of choosing F_k dyadic to partition $\mathbb{R}^d$. We could instead use open balls with diameter $< \delta$ that partition $\mathbb{R}^d$. The main point of Proposition 3.1 is the pointwise comparison (7).

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Since each of the g_m are uniformly continuous and there are finitely many of them, they are altogether uniformly continuous. This means that for any $\epsilon > 0$, which we pick and fix now, if $|x - y| < \delta$, then $|g_m(x) - g_m(y)| < \epsilon$ simultaneously for all m . With this in mind, use the dyadic structure in $\mathbb{R}^d$ to approximate each F_k by a disjoint union $\cup_j Q_{k,j}$ of dyadic cubes, each with length at most $\delta/\sqrt{d}$, so that $|F_k \setminus \cup_j Q_{k,j}| < \epsilon$. Then

$$\left\| f - \sum_k a_k \mathbf{1}_{\cup_j Q_{k,j}} \right\|_{L^1} < \left(\sum_{k=1}^K |a_k| \right) \epsilon = \|f\|_{L^1} \epsilon.$$

Partitioning and reordering the cubes and coefficients, we define $h = \sum_j b_j \mathbf{1}_{Q_j} = \sum_k a_k \mathbf{1}_{\cup_j Q_{k,j}}$.

Let y_j be a point in the interior of Q_j . For each cube Q_j and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$|\mathbf{1}_{Q_j} * g_m(x) - |Q_j| g_m(x - y_j)| < |Q_j| \epsilon$$

by our imposed uniform continuity of $\{g_m\}_{1 \leq m \leq M}$. This implies for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\left| h * g_m(x) - \sum_j b_j |Q_j| g_m(x - y_k) \right| < \sum_j |b_j| |Q_j| \epsilon = \|h\|_{L^1} \epsilon < 2 \|f\|_{L^1} \epsilon.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2. The proof will be similar to that of Carrillo–de Guzmán’s theorem and Theorem 1. We begin with several standard reductions which we outline. The first step is to reduce to the truncated variation function $V_r(f * \mu_m : m \in [M])$ for arbitrarily large but finite M . Our results will be independent of M so this is fine. The second step is to boost (1) to the same inequality with arbitrary positive coefficients $a_k > 0$:

$$(8) \quad \left| \left\{ x : V_r\left(\sum_k a_k g_m(x - x_k) : m \in [M]\right) > \lambda \right\} \right| \lesssim \left(\sum_k a_k^p \right) \lambda^{-p}.$$

This step follows a standard method: First prove it for $a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then extend to rational coefficients. Finish by taking limits to conclude it for real coefficients. The next step is to reduce to smooth $g_m \in L^1$ using (6) as in the proof of Theorem 1. At this point, we may now assume that for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ depending on ϵ such that $|g_{m_1}(x) - g_{m_2}(y)| < \epsilon$ for all $1 \leq m_1, m_2 \leq M$ and all $|x - y| < \delta$.

Proceed as in Moon’s theorem where the delta functions replace the sets I_k by choosing the delta function at a point inside F_k . Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose δ so that the above holds. Suppose that $f := \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \mathbf{1}_{Q_k}$ is a simple function where the Q_k are dyadic cubes. Suppose further that all the dyadic cubes Q_k have the same sidelength $\delta \leq 1$, then

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\sum_{k=1}^K |a_k|^p \delta^d \right)^{1/p} \geq \left(\sum_{k=1}^K |a_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \delta^d = \left(\sum_{k=1}^K |a_k Q_k|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

For each $1 \leq k \leq K$, let x_k be any point in the interior of Q_k . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} f * g_m(x) - \sum_{k=1}^K a_k |Q_k| g_m(x - x_k) &= \int \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \mathbf{1}_{Q_k}(y) g_m(x - y) - \sum_{k=1}^K a_k |Q_k| g_m(x - x_k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \int \mathbf{1}_{Q_k}(y) g_m(x - y) - \mathbf{1}_{Q_k}(y) g_m(x - x_k) dy \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \int_{Q_k} |g_m(x - y) - g_m(x - x_k)| dy \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^K a_k |Q_k| \right) \epsilon = \|f\|_1 \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_r(f * g_m(x) : m \in [M]) &\leq V_r(f * g_m(x) - \sum_k a_k |Q_k| g_m(x - x_k) : m \in [M]) \\ &\quad + V_r\left(\sum_k a_k |Q_k| g_m(x - x_k) : m \in [M]\right). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\epsilon < \frac{\lambda}{8M^{1/r}\|f\|_1}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\{V_r(f * g_m(x) : m \in [M]) > \lambda\}| &\leq |\left\{V_r\left(\sum_k a_k |Q_k| g_m(x - x_k) : m \in [M]\right) > \lambda/2\right\}| \\ &\leq 2^p C \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^K |a_k|^p |Q_k|^p\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq 2^p C \cdot \|f\|_p^p \lambda^{-p}. \end{aligned}$$

Once again choosing $\epsilon > 0$ smaller we may remove the extraneous power of 2.

The final step is to extend from simple functions formed by the standard dyadic mesh on $\mathbb{R}^d$ to general functions in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by adapting the argument at the end of the proof of Theorem 1.

The modifications for jump inequalities are like Theorems 1 and 3. We leave the details to the reader. $\square$

REFERENCES

1. Mustafa Akcoglu, John Baxter, Alexandra Bellow, and Roger L. Jones, *On restricted weak type (1, 1); the discrete case*, Israel J. Math. **124** (2001), 285–297. MR 1856521 1
2. Jean Bourgain, *Pointwise ergodic theorems for arithmetic sets*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1989), no. 69, 5–45, With an appendix by the author, Harry Furstenberg, Yitzhak Katznelson and Donald S. Ornstein. MR 1019960 2
3. Marilina Carena, *Weak type (1, 1) of maximal operators on metric measure spaces*, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina **50** (2009), no. 1, 145–159. MR 2643522 1
4. M. T. Carrillo and M. de Guzmán, *Maximal convolution operators and approximations*, Functional analysis, holomorphy and approximation theory (Rio de Janeiro, 1980), North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 71, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1982, pp. 117–129. MR 691161 1
5. Loukas Grafakos and Mieczysław Mastyło, *Restricted weak type versus weak type*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 4, 1075–1081 (electronic). MR 2117208 1
6. Paul A. Hagelstein and Roger L. Jones, *On restricted weak type (1, 1): the continuous case*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 1, 185–190. MR 2085168 1
7. Roger L. Jones, Andreas Seeger, and James Wright, *Strong variational and jump inequalities in harmonic analysis*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), no. 12, 6711–6742. MR 2434308 2
8. M. Mirek, E. M. Stein, and B. Trojan, *$L^p(Z^d)$ -estimates for discrete operators of Radon type: Variational estimates*, ArXiv e-prints (2015). 2
9. K. H. Moon, *On restricted weak type (1, 1)*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **42** (1974), 148–152. MR 0341196 1, 3
10. Gilles Pisier and Quan Hua Xu, *The strong p -variation of martingales and orthogonal series*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **77** (1988), no. 4, 497–514. MR 933985 2
11. Andreas Seeger, Terence Tao, and James Wright, *Pointwise convergence of lacunary spherical means*, Harmonic analysis at Mount Holyoke (South Hadley, MA, 2001), Contemp. Math., vol. 320, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, pp. 341–351. MR 1979950 (2004d:42023) 3
12. ———, *Singular maximal functions and Radon transforms near L^1* , Amer. J. Math. **126** (2004), no. 3, 607–647. MR 2058385 3
13. Andreas Seeger and James Wright, *Problems on averages and lacunary maximal functions*, Marcinkiewicz centenary volume, Banach Center Publ., vol. 95, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2011, pp. 235–250. MR 2918096 3

HEILBRONN INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, HOWARD HOUSE, QUEENS AVENUE, BRISTOL BS8 1SN, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: khughes.math@gmail.com